



**PROTECT Group Secretariat**  
**Kamerlingh Onnesweg 10c**  
**2991 XL Barendrecht**  
**The Netherlands**  
**Phone: +31 10 2941100**  
**[www.protect-group.org](http://www.protect-group.org)**  
**[info@protect-group.org](mailto:info@protect-group.org)**

## **MINUTES OF THE PROTECT MEETING # 21 OF MARCH 12, 2013 AT LE HAVRE**

### **1. Opening and agenda**

The chairman opened the meeting and the proposed agenda was adopted.

### **2. Roll Call**

The participants introduced themselves.

### **3. Relation to EPCSA and EU Directive 2010/65**

Herman gives presentation about the EPCSA meeting.  
This presentation is available on the Protect website.

Jerome remarks that there are important differences between a PCS and a cargo community system.  
There was a discussion about "Who checks the correctness of which data?"  
There was also a discussion about "How can PCS's facilitate the implementation of the EU Directive 2010/65?"

Also: "What will be the relation between PROTECT and EPCSA?"  
Hans explains the role of EPCSA.

### **4. EMSA.**

Jerome gives presentation about the EMSA meeting.  
This presentation is available on the Protect website.

Jerome believes the purpose of the message must be considered: Is it legal or operational?

Also: How complete is the message?

We must also remember that there is a rule stipulating that a data element will only be transmitted once! This seems to be in conflict with the specifications.

Another question: Must we forward the message or simply make it available?

EMSA requires data about DG, Waste, Vessel status, but NOT cargo details.

Question: Why must we send data about Waste when vessel is leaving? We believe reason for this is to be able to notify the next port about the vessel arriving with waste on board.

Discussion about the clearance of a vessel:

Distinction must be made for "Administrative clearance" (24 hrs in advance) and "Operational Clearance" (entering port). This clearance must be done by the NSW.



**PROTECT Group Secretariat**  
**Kamerlingh Onnesweg 10c**  
**2991 XL Barendrecht**  
**The Netherlands**  
**Phone: +31 10 2941100**  
**www.protect-group.org**  
**info@protect-group.org**

Plans for implementation everywhere are still in definition stage. This can become a problem as we need to be ready by June 2015 otherwise we believe fines will be applied by the EU.

More questions: Who will take the initiative? And Who is responsible to take the lead?  
Another thing to remember: The messages are XML-based (Core Components), whilst we all use Edifact.

At this moment organizations work on parts A and B, but not on C (national legislation).  
Question is: How will the different member states implement part C?  
It is the same situation in Belgium and Germany. It is not clear how the directive will be implemented.

Jerome suggests discussing this subject in the Protect group.

Herman promises to summarize this item and send it around. Thereafter he will present this view to EMSA during their meeting on 25/3. **(Action: HERMAN)**

## **5. Change Request IFTDGN**

Stephan explains the Change Request for additions to the IFTDGN 2.0 MIG concerning 'Liquid/Sold Bulk DG Cargo and Bunkers'. Download the CR from the PROTECT website.

Remarks:

In French ports they use the FTX segment to report bunkers.

Bremen uses a different method to report bunkers, not in IFTDGN.

INF code is missing (Nuclear Fuel).

Discussion about "How to match the Commercial Name to the Technical Name" (Register).

It was agreed to implement the change request into IFTDGN v2.0 MIG. **(Action: GER).**

## **6. IMDG Data in BAPLIE 3.0**

Ger present the SMDG view on DG data in the next generation of BAPLIE 3.0.

Initiated by some shipping lines (HL, HS, CMA) a new version of BAPLIE is being developed by an SMDG subgroup. This new BAPLIE version will have several new elements to cope with various functional requirements, like the indication of blocked cell locations and others. Also the DGS segment group will be changed considerably in order to be able to report additional details and to transmit more data in a structured way, for example in the ATT segment, instead of the FTX segment.

SMDG also requested the cooperation of the IMO and Exis in order to obtain access to a list of variant codes. SMDG has succeeded in convincing Exis to publish this so called "IMDG Coded Variant List" on their website, available to all interested parties without any costs. See also the detailed explanation in the applicable document on the PROTECT website.



**PROTECT Group Secretariat**  
**Kamerlingh Onnesweg 10c**  
**2991 XL Barendrecht**  
**The Netherlands**  
**Phone: +31 10 2941100**  
**[www.protect-group.org](http://www.protect-group.org)**  
**[info@protect-group.org](mailto:info@protect-group.org)**

As soon as the work on BAPLIE 3.0 is finished and the new structure has been accepted by SMDG members, work will start on other container messages, including COPRAR, COARRI, CODECO, COPARN, and others, in order to harmonize the structure of these messages with BAPLIE 3.0.

SMDG believes it will be beneficial for all parties if all relevant messages for the shipping and transport industry will be harmonized and therefore solicits the cooperation of PROTECT members in order to arrive at a joint structure of the DGS segment groups. This, of course, will in the end mean an upgrade of the IFTDGN message, in order to harmonize it with BAPLIE.

Since the work on BAPLIE is not finished yet SMDG requests the assistance of PROTECT in order to benefit from the substantial experience of PROTECT members with the transmission of DG data. If this can be organized we will hopefully arrive at a structure acceptable for both SMDG members and PROTECT members.

Please download the presentation about this subject from the PROTECT website.

## **7. Next meeting**

It was suggested to have the next PROTECT meeting together with SMDG in Rotterdam, where the Rotterdam Port Authority will be our host. This suggestion was accepted by the participants. The dates agreed are October 15-16, 2013. Further details to follow.

## **8. Any Other Business**

Antwerp has agreed to host a workshop about how Port Authorities deal with the messages. This will be a 2-day workshop on June 25-26, 2013.

To be added to the member list:

Port of Dunkerque: Mr. Eric Sorel and Mrs. Sylviane Natiez

Port of Nantes/Saint Nazaire: Mr. Tanguy Jacob and Mr. Laurent Piton

Port of Le Havre/SOGET: Mr. Pascal Ollivier, Mr. Julien Hue, Mr. Olivier Jean Degauchy.

## **9. Closure**

The chairman closes the meeting at 16:00 hrs.

-0-0-0-0-0-0-