

Minutes PROTECT meeting 24 June 2010

1. Opening and agenda

The Chair opened the meeting and welcomed participants.

2. Roll call + update of delegates/new members

New members from SOGET and the port of Amsterdam were welcomed.

3. Minutes of last meeting

The minutes of last meeting were approved.

Actions from the last meetings;

Mr. Koert will prepare a document explaining the differences between version 1.0 and versions 1.1, and version 1.0 will be put (back) on the PROTECT website with explanation of the differences with version 1.1.

A possible message for the Health Declaration is not yet available. It was reported that IMO has just accepted a FAL form 9 for this purpose. It may be good for European ports to be proactive, waiting for official IMO documentation.

Mr. Rook will investigate the requirements of Portbase for the notification of the 'Expanded Inspection'.

4. Demo: DG System of the port of Le Havre

A presentation was given by Le Havre (TIMAD software) for the risk assessment with regard to allowed transportation and stay of dangerous goods at terminals; maximum quantities are calculated per terminal. The software manages the whole declaration process, with the use of IFTDGN and XML equivalents.

5. PROTECT Foundation PROTECT organization and structure

Legal advice within the port of Rotterdam was given to organise PROTECT through a partner agreement rather than a foundation. A partner agreement would have the same legal statute, but be more flexible in describing the common tasks and activities, such as for example for PROTECT website.

The meeting agreed to start with a partner agreement for MOSAIC, and later make a partner agreement for PROTECT.

PROTECT membership

Relation to EPCSA

Mr. Olivier gave a presentation of a new organisation of European PCS's, which will be launched soon. The first members of EPCSA will be SOGET, MCP, PORTIC (Barcelona), BDH, Valencia and Portbase. All are also members of IAPH.

The meeting agreed that EPCSA and PROTECT can very well live together and co-operate.

6. MOSAIC MOSAIC initiative and relation with European Commission

MOSAIC project; outline and expectations

Mr. Seignette and Mr. Diaz presented the preparations so far, including a meeting early June in Brussels, for a meeting with Commission staff about the TEN-T Programme about the motorways of the sea.

MOSAIC has to be a neutral network, the proposal preparation was agreed; support of Ministries of Transport is required but the way of obtaining it differs per country, proposal will have to be in by end of August.

Time is becoming critical now and plan until 31 August has been set.

Mr. Jarvis explained the TEN-T Motorways of the Seas part, the process and funding; 2 more calls follow in the next years. The proposal will follow under e-maritime; selling point is B2B MOSAIC should be driven by both Port Authorities and Port Community Systems.

Mr. Seignette will prepare a draft partner agreement for MOSAIC.

A decision on the Consortium Leader was left pending, awaiting internal consultation by port authorities.

7. SAFESEANET

An incidence was reported, where EMSA was asking for the full cargo manifest.

8. Proposal for a Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on reporting formalities for ships arriving in and/or departing from ports of the Member States of the Community and repealing Directive 2002/6/EC

Mr. Seignette drew the attention to amendment 20 (based on Safeseanet).

9. RIS River Information Services From last meeting: Mr. Hanekamp proposed to read the documentation first and discuss this during next meeting.

No discussion

10. Change requests for the IFTDGN message

Mr. Gund presented a number of change requests:

CNI and GID groups: approved

SEG: approved to be added as FTX

The number of repeats etc. is to be adapted accordingly.

Start date to be decided at the next meeting (for example the end of this year).

11. Amendments IFTDGN MIG protect 1.1

Mr. Koert reported a MEA segment error (5); a small corrigendum will be published.

12. International standardization developments (TBG3/ITIGG/ebXML)

No discussion.

13. Next meeting

To be scheduled later.

14. Any other business

None

15. Closure

The Chair closed the meeting and thanked the participants for their contributions.