



# DRAFT

## Minutes of the PROTECT meeting # 28

Location: Amsterdam Port Authority

Date: 26 March 2015.

### 1. Opening by Chairman

Herman welcomes the participants and thanks Niels Postma of the port of Amsterdam for their hospitality.

### 2. Agenda

Hans asks to add another item: Semantic Interoperability Presentation.

### 3. Change of chair

This item is moved to the afternoon session (see item 9. Next meeting).

### 4. Minutes of previous meeting

The minutes of meeting # 27 of January 28 and 29, 2015 were presented. There are no remarks and the minutes are adopted.

### 5. Action points of the previous meeting

1. Potential support from PROTECT to IMO regarding the maintenance of EDI messages. Jerome reports current status of this item. There has been some e-mail correspondence with Kenji Itoh, who is in contact with the secretary of the IMO, Julian Abril Garcia. Jerome has in the meantime distributed this correspondence to the PROTECT members. Ger explains that SMDG works through ITIGG. Simon suggests to contact Dominique Vankemmel. Herman believes PROTECT now has some status with respect to IFTDGN and suggests to wait one more month for the reply.
2. Standard Reports: Frederic brings the subject to the Crew list and Passenger List. Herman adds that correct names are required, which sometimes can be a problem to transmit electronically in view of special characters used in some foreign languages. Uwe does not think this list must be transmitted electronically to the Port Authorities, but is only needed by the Border Police. Conclusion: Crew List and Passenger List are, at this moment, not to be handled by PROTECT. Hans adds that, if necessary, these lists can be uploaded to the PCS where it will be converted.
3. UN number variants code system. Cor stated that EMSA is preparing a proposal. Raymond believes that proposals must come from the member states, not from EMSA. Uwe: EHS numbers exist and are already in use. Cor: Proper Shipping Name is missing. Conclusion: No development to be expected within the next 6 months.



# DRAFT

4. Update Quicksan: Raymond refers to the agenda item: Status of the implementations.
5. Table of FAL documents: Hans explains that he is still working on this list and that he is missing some details. He expects it can be completed by the end of June.

## 6. Semantic Interoperability

Hans shows a discussion paper about the above subject. The intentions are unclear and he hopes to get more details during April, but in general it is about the question of how to match *the meaning* of data. Two relevant documents can be distributed internally. Contact Hans for this. Recommendations # 33 and 34 can be found on the UN-website.

## 7. IFTDGN Change Requests

Ger explains that a corrigendum must be prepared for two CR's:

- 1) For bulk cargo shipments – To be approved
- 2) For Transport license transmission – Already approved

There are no questions or objections to any of the two CR's and both CR's are therefore accepted. Ger will prepare a Corrigendum to be approved during the next meeting. A draft version will be published on the PROTECT website. Cor suggests to create a new version of the IFTDGN document, including the various corrigenda. Uwe explains that the PROTECT document is only a guideline not a legal requirement. EMSA may change the structure, if they wish.

Also the Ro/Ro position should be added, where both numbering systems can be used.

Ronald asked if PROTECT will recommend XML-format for IFTDGN. Antwerp informs that they can use both, XML and Edifact and will provide examples. This topic must be discussed again in next meeting. (\*\*).

## 8. Status of Implementations

Raymond refers to the updated Quicksan document of 23-1-2014. For the moment no change is required to this document.

BE: The Belgian government will open a website by the end of April.

FR: Single Window website will be included in the government website. They must use the messages from the ANNA project, then convert them in the PCS before transmitting them to Traffic2000.

DE: ANNA messages are not used. The German website for NSW can now be accessed, as demonstrated. Use this link: [www.national-single-window.de](http://www.national-single-window.de)

NL: No change since previous report.



# DRAFT

## 9. Next meeting

Herman explains that it was his intention to announce that this meeting would be his last meeting. However, at the special request of his successor, Jerome, he will stay until the next meeting.

The planned dates for the next meeting (June) are not convenient and Jerome proposes to delay the meeting until September 10. Location probably Paris, but Jerome will check the possibilities.

Further details to follow on the website.

## 10. Any other Business

Herman: Found out that many people believe the ETA is important, but the ATA is even more important. The reliability of these two dates/times is very important for the authorities. He also wonders who can actually access this information: Authorities only or also public?

Cor has 3 questions:

ISPS info: Can PROTECT create a standard? Stephan replies with a brief presentation about the NSW-reporting formalities in Germany and proposes PROTECT to adopt this standard based on their XSD-schema.

PROTECT is observer in SSN, however, Cor cannot participate in their next meeting on May 6. Can anybody else go to this meeting? Unfortunately no one else seems to be available on this date.

Security Information: BERMAN does not cover all data elements. Should we look into this, with an addendum to BERMAN? Hans will look into this and report back in next meeting (AP).

Fons de Jong informs participants that he will hand over the PROTECT activities for the Port of Amsterdam to Niels from now on, but he remains interested in the progress.

Frederic: Informs that he is now member of the ANNA project. This will be also beneficial for PROTECT, with more info and he will try to make the results of this project more market compliant. This subject will be put on the agenda of future PROTECT meetings (\*\*). Frederic presents some (.XLS) drafts of the different messages.

## 11. Closing

The chairman closed the meeting thanking the Amsterdam Port Authority for their hospitality.

-0-0-0-0-0-0-